Toolbox dialogue approach

A tool to uncover implicit assumptions and shared understandings of research and practice disciplines and to oppose them to the thought styles of other disciplines.

What is the toolbox dialogue approach?	The toolbox dialogue approach consists of a set of questions and statements (i.e., the "toolbox") that are used to trigger dialogue in a workshop format. It helps researchers become aware of their own (disciplinary) thought style and the (disciplinary) thought style of their collaborators.
Why should it be applied?	Often, researchers of various backgrounds start from the assumption that they all share the same research or practice thought style. In fact, disciplines differ in what they consider methods, a correct research design or a reliable source of evidence. The toolbox dialogue approach reveals these underlying assumptions by asking simple (philosophical) questions such as 'What kinds of data constitute scientific evidence?'. Revealing the underlying assumptions and making them explicit enables deep mutual understanding and deliberation on what shared standards could be.
When should it be applied?	The toolbox dialogue approach is best used early in a joint process of knowledge production to lay a sound basis for collaboration.
How does it work?	 A toolbox dialogue approach workshop includes the following basic steps: 1) A facilitator distributes the toolbox and asks the participants to respond to the prompts individually. The prompts can be either a set of open questions, or a set of statements to be answered by scoring Likert scales. 2) Depending on the size of the group, the individual answers are discussed in the whole group or in sub-groups. The discussion can be lightly facilitated or self-organised. 3) In case of Likert scales, the participants can be asked to respond to the prompts a second time to check for changes as a result of the discussion. 4) The workshop closes by discussing the experiences with the toolbox in plenary.
How are thought styles bridged?	The toolbox dialogue approach bridges disciplinary thought styles by making underlying assumptions explicit so that researchers get a deeper understanding of their own and other disciplines' world-view.
What's the output/outcome?	For an individual, the outcome is clarity about disciplinary world-views. As a group, the outcome is clarity about different assumptions and positions.
Who participates in what role?	A facilitator moderates the workshop. Researchers of the different disciplines involved in a project are the participants.

What do I need to prepare?

Besides going through one of the papers to learn more about the toolbox dialogue approach, the only thing to prepare is the toolbox, i.e., the list of questions and statements used in the workshop. A set of open questions can be found in Eigenbrode et al. 2007, and sets of statements to be answered by scoring Likert scales in Schnapp et al. 2012 and O'Rourke et al. 2014.

When not to use the method?

The toolbox dialogue approach was originally designed for a heterogeneous group of researchers. The prompts address issues researchers are familiar with, but not other societal stakeholders. The original toolbox should therefore not be used in heterogeneous stakeholder groups. Currently, however, further toolboxes are being designed to enable dialogue among societal stakeholders.

Where can I learn more?

Check the website for the Toolbox Dialogue Initiative, http://tdi.msu.edu.

Selected references:

- Hubbs, G, O'Rourke, M, Orzack, S H (eds) 2021. The Toolbox Dialogue Initiative: The Power of Cross-Disciplinary Practice. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press.
- O'Rourke M, Crowley S, Eigenbrode S D, Wulfhorst J D (eds) 2014. Enhancing Communication & Collaboration in Interdisciplinary Research. Thousand Oaks, California: SAGE Publications.
- Eigenbrode S D et al 2007. Employing philosophical dialogue in collaborative science. Bioscience, V57, N1, pp 55-64.
- Schnapp, L M, Rotschy, L, Hall, T E et al. 2012. How to talk to strangers: facilitating knowledge sharing within translational health teams with the Toolbox dialogue method. Behav. Med. Pract. Policy Res. 2, 469–479. doi.org/10.1007/s13142-012-0171-2

Check the online profile on www.transdisciplinarity.ch/toolbox for updated resources (e.g. most recent publications, experience reports, videos, links).

Suggested citation

Pohl C 2020. Toolbox dialogue approach. td-net toolbox profile (12). Swiss Academies of Arts and Sciences: td-net toolbox for co-producing knowledge. www.transdisciplinarity.ch/toolbox. doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3717505

SDGs: The International Sustainable Development Goals of the UN

In this publication, the Swiss Academies of Arts and Sciences make most notably a contribution to SDGs 4, 16, 17:

- > sustainabledevelopment.un.org
- > eda.admin.ch/agenda2030/en/home/agenda-2030/die-17-ziele-fuer-eine-nachhaltige-entwicklung.html







IMPRESSUM

PUBLISHER

Swiss Academies of Arts and Sciences (a+) • Network for Transdisciplinary Research (td-net) • www.transdisciplinarity.ch • td-net@scnat.ch • @td-net House of Academies • Laupenstrasse 7 • P.O. Box • 3001 Bern • Switzerland

AUTHOR

Dr. Christian Pohl • ETH Zurich • Transdisciplinarity Lab (TdLab)

REVIEWER

Prof. Dr. Michael O'Rourke • Michigan State University • Center for Interdisciplinarity, Philosophy

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

For quality assurance of this profile, the publisher thanks the editorial board of the td-net web portal which is elected by the scientific advisory board of td-net. The web portal and its toolbox profiles were initially developed in partnership with the Transdisciplinarity Lab (TdLab), ETH Zurich, and financed by Mercator Foundation Switzerland.

This publication is part of a series of tools and methods compiled in the td-net toolbox for co-producing knowledge All profiles are identically structured and accessible online.

